SINGLE BENCH

(1) (4)

C.S. 151 —

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (C) No. 7039 /2006

PETITIONER : Sukhdeo Prasad S/o. Late Shri Ramkisun Tamrakar, Aged about- 50 yrs, R/o.- Tamer para, Durg.

Petitioner No 1

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. Sarju Prasad S/o. Late Shri Ramkisun Tamrakar, aged about 57 years, R/o. Tamer Para, Durg, Tah. & Distt.- Durg (C.G.) - Plaintiff

P.R. No. 7126/06
Presented by Shri U. Sharma
7-12-06

2. Shri Prakash Tamrakar S/o. Shri Lakshminath Tamrakar, aged about- 40 years, R/o. Supeal (Purani Basti), Near house of Shri Bhagwati Prasad Tamrakar , Tah. & Distt.- Durg (C.G.)

3. Smt. Mithila Devi W/o. Shri Sukhdeo Tamrakar, aged about- 45 years, R/o. Tamerpara, Durg

4. Smt. Tikin Bai W/o. Lakhminath Tamrakar, aged about 65 years, R/o. Village Devada, Tah.- Berla, Distt.- Durg (M.P.)

Asstt. Registrar
7/12/06

(2) (5)

5. Smt. Sahodra Wd/o. Shivcharan Tamrakar, aged about- 60 years, R/o. Girdhari Nagar, Durg, Tah. & Distt.- Durg (C.G.)

6. Smt Shanti Bai W/o. Radhelal Tamrakar, aged about- 45 years, R/o.- Supela, Bhilai, Tah. & Distt.- Durg (M.P.)

7. Smt. Kanti Bai W/o. Krishgopal Tamrakar, aged about 40 years, R/o. Nandni Town, Ahiwari, Tah. Dhamdha, Distt.- Durg (M.P.)

8 Shri Ashok kumar Varma S/o. Shri M.L. Varma, aged about 35 years, R/o.- Gavlipara, Tah. & Distt.- Durg (C.G.) Respondents No. 2 to 8 are defendants before the court below.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF

THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF

SUITABLE WRIT, WRITS, DIRECTION ETC. TO DO JUSTICE

IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

Sub. Category Code 2110 (24)

SINGLE BENCH

Writ Petition U/A 226 and 227 of the constitution of India.

OTHER MATTER

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर (23)

order 7 R. 11 of C.P.C. against the order dt. 6-9-06 passed in C.S. No. 11-A/06 by 11th ADJ, Durg

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(N)No. 7039 सन् 200

Sukhdeo Prasad विरुद्ध Sanju Prasad & Ors

आदेश का दिनांक, आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	03.01.07. None for the petitioner. Even in the second call none appears for the petitioner. The petition is dismissed for want of prosecution. Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge	